

**REMARKS**

At the outset, the Examiner is thanked for the thorough review and consideration of the pending application. The Office Action dated November 13, 2008, has been received and its contents carefully reviewed.

In the previous reply, claims 1 and 3-35 were pending in this application. Claims 18-35 are withdrawn in the elections. In this Office Action, claims 1, 3-8 and 14-17 have been rejected and claims 9-13 have been objected. In this reply, claims 1, 12 and 13 have been amended, and claim 11 has been canceled. Therefore, claims 1, 3-10 and 12-35 are currently pending. Reexamination and reconsideration of the examined claims is respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-8 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumagawa al. (US 6,909,415, hereinafter "Kumagawa") in view of Yoon (US 6,005,542, hereinafter "Yoon"). Claim 5 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumagawa al. (US 6,909,415, hereinafter "Kumagawa") in view of Yoon (US 6,005,542, hereinafter "Yoon") and in further view of Shirahashi et al. (US 5,285,301, hereinafter "Shirahashi"). Claims 9-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The rejection of claims 1, 3-10 and 12-17 is respectfully traversed and reconsideration is requested.

It is noted that claim 1 is amended to have the subject matter of "wherein the storage line includes a first storage line parallel with the gate line along the TFTs, and a second storage line connected to the first storage line in parallel with the data line," recited in original allowable claim 11.

Therefore, claim 1 is allowable over Kumagawa in view of Yoon and in further view of Shirahashi.

Also, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 1 and claims 3-10 and 12-17, which depend from claim 1, are allowable over Kumagawa in view of Yoon and in further view of Shirahashi.

### **CONCLUSION**

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and the timely allowance of the pending claims. Should the Examiner feel that there are any issues outstanding after consideration of the Response, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicants' undersigned representative to expedite prosecution.

**EXCEPT** for issue fees payable under 37 C.F.R. § 1.18, the Commissioner is hereby authorized by this paper to charge any additional fees during the entire pendency of this application including fees due under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required, including any required extension of time fees, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0310. This paragraph is intended to be a **CONSTRUCTIVE PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME** in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)(3).

Respectfully submitted,

**MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP**

Date: February 6, 2009  
**Customer No. 09629**  
**MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS**  
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20004  
Telephone: 202-739-3000

By: Mary Jane Boswell  
Mary Jane Boswell  
Reg. No. 33,652